## Transience, Density, and Point Recurrence of Multiparameter Brownian Motion

## LANE YODER

Communicated by the Editors

The transience, density, and point recurrence properties of one-parameter Brownian motion have been known for some time. If the Brownian path is in three-dimensional Euclidean space, the path is nowhere dense with probability one and goes to infinity as the time parameter goes to infinity. In d-dimensional space,  $d \ge 5$ , the probability is one that the path has no double points [2]. (A different proof [1] shows there are no double points in 4-space.)

The original proofs of these properties depend on Lévy's equality:

(1) 
$$P\{\max_{t \in [a,b]} X(t,\omega) - X(a,\omega) > \lambda\} = 2P\{X(b,\omega) - X(a,\omega) > \lambda\}.$$

This equality requires independent increments, which do not exist in general for multiparameter Brownian motion. It turns out that Lévy's equality is not needed. The non-recurrence property follows from Lévy's result on the modulus of continuity of multiparameter Brownian motion [3]. The transience and density properties follow from an inequality given here as Theorem 2. These proofs seem to be new, even for the one-parameter case.

Let  $W^{(N,d)}$  denote Lévy's N-parameter Brownian motion with values in d-dimensional Euclidean space; i.e. if  $X = W^{(N,d)}$ , then  $X(t,\omega) = (X_1(t,\omega), \cdots, X_d(t,\omega))$   $\varepsilon \mathbf{R}^d$ , where  $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_N) \varepsilon \mathbf{R}^N$  and the coordinate functions  $X_i$  are mutually independent, separable, Gaussian processes with mean zero and covariance

$$E(X_i(s), X_i(t)) = (1/2)[|s| + |t| - |s - t|].$$

Here  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm.

**Theorem 1.** If 4N < d, then almost no paths of  $W^{(N,d)}$  have double points; i.e.  $P\{X(s, \omega) = X(t, \omega) \text{ for some distinct } s, t\} = 0$ .

*Proof.* We use Lévy's modulus of continuity [3], which shows that if  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  and if A is a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then

608 L. YODER

(2) 
$$P\{\limsup_{\substack{s,\,t \in A \\ |s-t| \to 0}} |X_p(s) - X_p(t)| \cdot |s-t|^{-\alpha} = 0\} = 1, \qquad p = 1, \cdots, d.$$

Let A, B be cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Assume d(A, B), the distance from A to B, is positive. It is sufficient to show that

$$\beta = P\{X(s, \omega) = X(t, \omega) \text{ for some } s \in A, t \in B\} = 0.$$

Choose  $\alpha$  so that  $4N < 2d\alpha < d$ . Partition A and B into  $2^{nN}$  cubes by dividing the edges of each cube into  $2^n$  equal segments. Call the cubes  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2^{nN}$ , each with a vertex  $s_i$ ,  $t_i$ , respectively. Then

$$\beta \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{nN}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{nN}} P\{|X_p(s_i) - X_p(t_i)| < 2 \cdot 2^{-n\alpha}, \quad p = 1, \dots, d\}$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{d} P\{\max_{s \in A_i} |X_p(s) - X_p(s_i)| > 2^{-n\alpha} \quad \text{for some} \quad i = 1, \dots, 2^{nN}\}$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{d} P\{\max_{t \in B_j} |X_p(t) - X_p(t_i)| > 2^{-n\alpha} \quad \text{for some} \quad j = 1, \dots, 2^{nN}\}.$$

Equation (2) shows that the last two sums go to zero as n goes to infinity. The first sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{nN}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{nN}} \left\{ (2\pi |\mathbf{s}_i - t_j|)^{-1/2} \int_{-2 \cdot 2^{-n\alpha}}^{2 \cdot 2^{-n\alpha}} \exp \left[ -u^2/2 |\mathbf{s}_i - t_j| \right] du \right\}^d \cdot$$

This is bounded by  $[d(A, B)]^{-d/2} \cdot 2^{n(2N-\alpha d)}$ , which also goes to zero as n goes to infinity.

The next theorem is needed as a substitute for equation (1) in order to prove Theorem 3. The proof is an amplification of a classic argument of Lévy [3], [4].

Let A be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with D the length of an edge. Dividing each edge into  $2^n$  equal segments partitions A into  $2^{nN}$  cubes. Call these cubes  $A_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, 2^{nN}$ , with  $t_i$  a vertex of  $A_i$ .

**Theorem 2.** For  $X = W^{(N,1)}$ , there are constants (i.e. independent of n and D)  $K_1 > 0$ ,  $K_2 > 0$ , and 0 < a < 1 such that

$$P\{\max_{t \in A_i} |X(t, \omega) - X(t_i, \omega)| > K_1(D2^{-n} \log 2^n)^{1/2} \text{ for some } i\} < K_2 a^n.$$

*Proof.* Since X is continuous with probability one [3], it is sufficient to prove the theorem on the binary rational points in A. We may also assume A is oriented with the axes and has one vertex at the origin. Let  $m=2^r$  and consider the points in A whose coordinates are integral multiples of D/m. These points form a network of  $Nm(m+1)^{N-1}$  equal segments parallel to the axes, each of length D/m. Call this network  $\pi_r$ . For the increment  $\Delta X$  on each of these segments,

$$P\{|\Delta X| > \lambda m^{-1/2}\} \le D^{1/2} \lambda^{-1} \exp{-(\lambda^2/2D)}.$$

Let

$$\alpha_{\nu} = P\{|\Delta X| > \lambda m^{-1/2} \text{ on some segment in } \pi_{\nu}\}.$$

Then

$$\alpha_{\nu} \leq Nm(m+1)^{N-1}D^{1/2}\lambda^{-1} \exp{-(\lambda^2/2D)}.$$

Let C > 1,  $\lambda_{\nu} = C(2DN\nu \log 2)^{1/2}$ , and let

$$B_n = \{\omega \colon |\Delta X| > \lambda_{\nu} m^{-1/2} \text{ on some segment in some } \pi_{\nu} , \nu \geqq n \}.$$

Then

$$P(B_n) \leq \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} \leq \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} N 2^{\nu} (2^{\nu} + 1)^{N-1} D^{1/2} \lambda_{\nu}^{-1} \exp \left(-(\lambda^2/2D)\right)$$

$$\leq K \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \left[2^{N(1-C^2)}\right]^{\nu} = K \frac{\left[2^{N(1-C^2)}\right]^n}{1 - 2^{N(1-C^2)}} = K_2 a^n.$$

The proof is completed by showing there is a constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that for each  $\omega \in B_n^c$  = the complement of  $B_n$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, 2^{nN}$ ,

$$\max_{t \in A_i} |X(t, \omega) - X(t_i, \omega)| \le K_1(D2^{-n} \log 2^n)^{1/2}.$$

It suffices to suppose  $s, t \in A$  are on a line parallel to one axis since any segment can be decomposed into lines parallel to the axes. Say the  $p^{\text{th}}$  component of s is  $s_{\nu} = D \, q/2^r$ , where  $r \geq n$  and  $0 \leq q \leq 2^r$ . Suppose  $t = s + De_{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{u} \epsilon_i/2^{r+i}$ , where  $e_{\nu}$  is the unit vector parallel to the  $p^{\text{th}}$  axis and  $\epsilon_i$  is 0 or 1. Note that  $\omega \in B_n^C$  means that  $|\Delta X| < \lambda_{\nu} 2^{-1/2}$  for every increment  $\Delta X$  in every network  $\pi_{\nu}$ ,  $\nu \geq n$ . Then  $|X(s, \omega) - X(t, \omega)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{u} \epsilon_i \lambda_{r+1} 2^{-(r+i)/2}$ . Let j be the first integer such that  $\epsilon_i = 1$ . If we let i' = i - j + 1, then  $i \geq j$  and a computation gives

$$r + i \le (r + j)i'$$
.

Now

$$|X(s) - X(t)| \le C(2DN \log 2)^{1/2} \sum_{i=j}^{u-j+1} \epsilon_i [(r+i)2^{-(r+i)}]^{1/2}$$

$$\le K(D \log 2)^{1/2} \sum_{i'=1}^{h-j+1} [(r+j)2^{-(r+i)}]^{1/2} [i'2^{1-i'}]^{1/2}$$

$$\le K_1(D \log 2^{r+i}/2^{r+i})^{1/2} \le K_1(D2^{-n} \log 2^n)^{1/2}.$$

**Theorem 3.** If 2N < d, the probability is one that the path of  $W^{(N,d)}$  goes to infinity as the parameter goes to infinity; i.e. for almost every  $\omega$ , given M > 0 there is an  $M(\omega)$  such that  $|t| > M(\omega)$  implies  $|X(t, \omega)| > M$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\alpha$  so that  $2N < \alpha d < d$ . Let  $a_k = k^{\alpha}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . There is a constant c > 0 such that

610 L. YODER

$$ck^{\alpha-1} < a_{k+1} - a_k < k^{\alpha-1}$$
.

Let  $d_k = a_{k+1} - a_k$  and  $n_k = [a_k/d_k]$  = the integer part of  $a_k/d_k$ . Then  $n_k < k/c$ . Cover  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with cubes of the form

$$[\pm i_1 d_k, \pm (i_1 + 1) d_k] \times \cdots \times [\pm i_N d_k, \pm (i_N + 1) d_k]$$

with one of the indices i fixed at  $n_k$ , while the other indices are equal to any integer from 0 to  $n_k$ .

For each k the edge length of each cube is  $d_k$  and the number of cubes is  $b_k = 2^N N(n_k + 1)^{N-1} < Kk^{N-1}$ . Call the cubes  $A_{ki}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, b_k$ , each with a vertex  $t_{ki}$ .

Now let M>0. (Assume M is large enough that  $2^N \exp{-(M^2/2N^{1/2})}<1$  and  $K_1(2^{-n}\log{2^n})^{1/2}< M$  for all n.) Let  $C_k=[k^{1-\alpha}]=$  the integer part of  $k^{1-\alpha}$  and partition  $A_{ki}$  into  $2^{C_kN}$  cubes by dividing each edge of  $A_{ki}$  into  $2^{C_k}$  equal segments. Call these  $A_{kij}$ ,  $j=1,\cdots,2^{C_k}$ , each with a vertex  $t_{kij}$ .

Let.

$$\beta_{ki} = P\{|X_p(t)| < M, p = 1, \dots, d, \text{ for some } t \in A_{ki}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \beta_{ki} & \leq P\{|X_{p}(t_{ki})| < 3M, \quad p = 1, \cdots, d\} \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{C}_{k}^{N}} P\{|X_{p}(t_{kij}) - X_{p}(t_{ki})| > M\} \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^{d} P\{\max_{t \in A_{kij}} |X_{p}(t) - X_{p}(t_{kij})| > M \quad \text{for some} \quad j = 1, \cdots, 2^{C_{k}^{N}}\} \\ & \leq \left\{ (2\pi |t_{ki}|)^{-1/2} \int_{-3M}^{3M} \exp\left[\frac{-u^{2}}{2|t_{ki}|}\right] du \right\}^{d} \\ & + d2^{C_{k}^{N}} P\{|X_{1}(s_{ki}) - X_{1}(t_{ki})| > M, \quad s_{ki} \text{ the vertex opposite } t_{ki}\} + dK_{2} a^{C_{k}^{N}} \\ & \leq [6Ma_{k}^{-1/2}]^{d} + d2^{C_{k}^{N}} (d_{k}N^{1/2})^{1/2} M^{-1} \exp\left[\frac{-M^{2}}{2d_{k}N^{1/2}}\right] + dK_{2} a^{C_{k}^{N}} \\ & \leq K_{3} \left\{ k^{-\alpha d/2} + \left[2^{N} \exp\left(\frac{-M^{2}}{2N^{1/2}}\right)\right]^{k^{1-\alpha}} + a^{k^{1-\alpha}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Summing all the  $\beta_{ki}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} \beta_{ki} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K_4 k^{N-1} \left\{ k^{-\alpha d/2} + \left[ 2^N \exp\left(\frac{-M^2}{2N^{1/2}}\right) \right]^{k^{1-\alpha}} + a^{k^{1-\alpha}} \right\} \cdot$$

Since this is a convergent series, the theorem follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

**Theorem 4.** If 2N < d, the range of  $W^{(N,d)}$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with probability one.

*Proof.* The range has Lebesgue measure zero with probability one. To show this, divide the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  into h-cubes (cubes with edge of length h). Choose  $\delta$  so that  $N/d < \delta < 1/2$ . By equation (2) the image of each h-cube is contained in a set of diameter  $Kh^{\delta}$ , and the estimate of the d measure of the image is  $h^{-N}(Kh^{\delta})^d = K^dh^{\delta d-N}$ , which goes to zero as h goes to zero.

Theorem 3 shows that the range is closed with probability one. This fact and the zero Lebesgue measure show the range is nowhere dense.

I would like to thank Professor Casper Goffman for suggesting these problems. I would also like to thank the referee for suggestions which simplified the proofs of theorems 1 and 4.

## References

- A. DVORETZKY, P. ERDÖS & S. KAKUTANI, Double points of paths of Brownian motion in n-space, Acta Szeged 12 (1950), 75-81.
- S. KAKUTANI, On Brownian motions in n-space, Japan Acad. Tokyo Proc. 20 (1944), 648–652
- 3. P. Lévy, Processus stochastiques et mouvement Brownien. Paris, 1948, p. 265.
- 4. P. Lévy, Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires. Paris, 1954, pp. 169-172.

University of Hawaii

Date communicated: July 30, 1973